

|   |                 |              |  |
|---|-----------------|--------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
|   | 09/714,154      | AOKI, SHIN   |  |
|   | Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |
|   | David L. Lewis  | 2673         |  |

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) David L. Lewis.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Surinder Sachar.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 June 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

12 and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

none

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Surinder Sachar authorized an examiners amendment changing the dependancy of claims 12 and 13, from canceled claim 11, to claim 10. Claims 12 and 13, therefore depend on claim 10.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)